

Do we achieve anything by reducing CO2 emissions?

Media reports indicate that it is climate change for the worse that must be fought. It started in the 19th century with scientists, chemists and meteorologists, who put forward the theory of a greenhouse effect from CO₂ in the atmosphere. This was used by politicians in WMO and UNEP in the UN to establish the IPCC (Climate Panel). Self-proclaimed climate scientists have picked up the thread and strongly claim that CO₂ in the atmosphere accounts for global warming and thereby controls climate.

It is claimed to have developed through industrial development where the use of fossil fuels, coal, oil and gas has accounted for a sharp increase in CO₂ in the atmosphere, from 0.03% to 0.04% of the atmosphere.

According to IPCC human emissions make up only 4.25% of total emissions, while 95.75% are natural emissions.

Will reduced emissions eliminate the effect of this added amount of CO₂ in the atmosphere? Should not the task be to reduce the amount of CO₂ in the atmosphere to the level at pre-industrial times in order to thereby reduce the global temperature to pre-industrial level? Thus the climate should change to a pre-industrial level because then it was better?

It is clear what you want, better climate, but do you achieve it?

Emissions continue, albeit reduced, and the amount of CO₂ in the atmosphere continues to increase with climate change for the worse as a result! Reduce emissions to zero and natural emissions will continue to contribute to an increase!

The research and thus the politics is on wrong road!!!