## Is there a factual basis for the climate policy pursued?

As norwegian I have the following questions in this context, they apply to all countries:

1. Does the reduction of Norway's CO2 emissions have any effect?

The background for the question is following facts:

According to the World Bank, Norway's emissions account for only 0.13% of the world's total emissions. What effect do you think a halving in coming 30 years might have? None!

What if the reduction is not real but something you have acquired on paper through the purchase of climate quotas? Who is being conned here? The Norwegian people!

The answer to question 1 is clearly NO! Norway's possible reductions in CO2 emissions have no measurable effect on the insulating properties of the atmosphere. Quite simply unjustifiable and wasteful use of society's funds.

Everyone must contribute, they say.

Poor countries do not contribute themselves. Rich countries provide funds that can be used for the purpose of the poor countries. Are they used as intended?

The five largest emitting countries account for about 60% of the world's emissions. Coal-fired power plants are still being built here, ie there will be a sharp increase instead of a reduction in emissions from these countries. The "everyone must contribute" argument does not hold!

2. Does the world's total CO2 emissions have any effect?

CO2 in the atmosphere is measured at about 400ppm (parts per million) and right now there is an annual increase of about 1.5 ppm. Ie. 0.04% of the atmosphere is CO2. And the increase is 0.00015% per year.

An IPCC report estimates the total of CO2 emissions, natural and man-made, where the man-made share is 4.25%. Ie. 0.064 ppm of annual increase in atmosphere of 1.5 ppm is man-made. Ie. humans emit an annual amount of CO2 amounting to 0.00000064%. The increase in CO2 in the atmosphere is mainly due to natural emissions!

The answer to question 2 is clearly NO! The world's total emissions from humans have no measurable effect on the insulating properties of the atmosphere. Far less will a reduction in emissions have an effect!

3. Professionals Guarantees.

A far more important question is whether the professionals, the expertise, politicians relies on can guarantee that the climate changes that may occur are due to CO2 and CO2 emissions alone? Can they guarantee that a reduction will lead to a better climate?

The answer is also here NO! Because they lack, as shown here, the scientific basis needed for such guarantees to be given!

Why then pursue a costly policy to reduce human CO2 emissions when it is impossible to have an effect on the climate? How can one justify the use of community funds for this?

The consequence of this must be that you reverse the policy and ensure that Norway is withdrawn from the Paris Agreement!

Actually, this gives all countries reason to abanden the Paris Agreement!

Conserned.